



Vol. 6 Issue No. 3, July-September 2024

e-ISSN 2456-7701

# Journal of Science and Technological Researches

A Peer Reviewed Journal

*Origin of Innovation*

Domain: [www.jstr.org.in](http://www.jstr.org.in), Email: [editor@jstr.org.in](mailto:editor@jstr.org.in)

## GENERALIZED CONTRACTING MAPPING ON $M_b$ -METRIC SPACES WITH APPLICATION

Neeraj Malviya<sup>1</sup> Jerolina Fernandez<sup>2\*</sup> and Sheela<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Govt. Degree College Timarni, Harda, M.P., India

<sup>2,3</sup>Department of Science, The Bhopal School of Social Sciences, Bhopal, M.P., India

Email: [jerolinafernandez@gmail.com](mailto:jerolinafernandez@gmail.com)



Date of Received

17 August, 2024



Date of Revised

28 August, 2024



Date of Acceptance

22 September, 2024



Date of Publication

31 September, 2024

DOI Link : <https://doi.org/10.51514/JSTR.6.3.2024.15-19>



# JSTR

*"together we can and we will make a difference"*

P-104, Premier Tower, Premier Orchard Colony, Near Peoples Mall, Bhanpur Road, Bhopal (M.P.), India- 462037

Domain: [www.jstr.org.in](http://www.jstr.org.in), Email: [editor@jstr.org.in](mailto:editor@jstr.org.in), Contact: 09713990647

© JSTR All rights reserved

# GENERALIZED CONTRACTING MAPPING ON $M_b$ -METRIC SPACES WITH APPLICATION

Neeraj Malviya<sup>1</sup> Jerolina Fernandez<sup>2\*</sup> and Sheela<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Govt. Degree College Timarni, Harda, M.P., India

<sup>2,3</sup>Department of Science, The Bhopal School of Social Sciences, Bhopal, M.P., India

Email: jerolinafernandez@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

In the present paper, we establish some fixed point theorems in the framework of  $M_b$ -metric space. As illustrations few examples are presented. Finally, as application, we discuss the existence of non-linear integral equation solution. **Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):** 47H10.

**Keywords:** Mapping, theorem, non-linear, equation, generalized contraction mapping etc.

## INTRODUCTION

As a generalization of metric space, in 1989, Bakhtin [2] (and Czerwik [3], 1993) derived a number of theorems of fixed points in the form of partial metric spaces. In 1994, Matthews [13] introduced the concept of partial metric space. This extension of metric states that the distance between a point and itself is not zero. The theory of the metric fixed point has been generalised by several researchers in different directions. (see [4-7, 9-12]).

Asadi et al. [1] in 2014 introduced M-metric space, the generalization of partial metric space and produced some fixed-point results on generalized contractions.  $M_b$ -metric space was introduced in 2016 (Mlaiki et al., 2016) [14]. This structure is an extension of partial metric space and yields some fixed-point Theorems.

In light of the same spirit, the aim of this paper, is to define generalized contraction map in order to examine the existence of a fixed point for this mapping, in  $M_b$ -metric space. In the current literature, our results have extended significantly a number of well documented findings.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let's start by reviewing the following notation:

**Notation 2.1** [1]

$$1. m_{\mu, \sigma} = \min \{m(\mu, \mu), m(\sigma, \sigma)\}$$

$$2. M_{\mu, \sigma} = \max \{m(\mu, \mu), m(\sigma, \sigma)\}$$

**Definition 2.2.** [1] Let  $\varphi$  be a nonempty set.

Suppose  $m: \varphi^2 \rightarrow R^+$  satisfies

$$(m1) m(\mu, \mu) = m(\sigma, \sigma) = m(\mu,$$

$\sigma)$  if and only if  $\mu = \sigma$ ,

$$(m2) m_{\mu, \sigma} \leq m(\mu, \sigma),$$

$$(m3) m(\mu, \sigma) = m(\sigma, \mu),$$

(m4)  $(m(\mu, \sigma) - m_{\mu, \sigma}) \leq (m(\mu, \omega) - m_{\mu, \omega}) + (m(\omega, \sigma) - m_{\omega, \sigma})$  for all  $\mu, \sigma, \omega \in \varphi$ . Then  $(\varphi, m)$  is called an M-metric space.

The concept of  $M_b$ -metric space was given by Mlaiki et al. [14], but first we review the following notation.

**Notation 2.3.** [14]

$$(1) m_{b, \mu, \sigma} = \min \{m_b(\mu, \mu), m_b(\sigma, \sigma)\}$$

$$(2) M_{b, \mu, \sigma} = \max \{m_b(\mu, \mu), m_b(\sigma, \sigma)\}$$

**Definition 2.4.** [14] Let  $\varphi$  be a nonempty set.

Suppose  $m_b: \varphi^2 \rightarrow R^+$  satisfies

(m<sub>b</sub>1)  $m_b(\mu, \mu) = m_b(\sigma, \sigma) = m_b(\mu, \sigma)$  if and only if  $\mu = \sigma$ ,

$$(m_b2) m_{b, \mu, \sigma} \leq m_b(\mu, \sigma),$$

$$(m_b3) m_b(\mu, \sigma) = m_b(\sigma, \mu),$$

$$(m_b4) (m_b(\mu, \sigma) - m_{b, \mu, \sigma}) \leq s[(m_b(\mu, \omega) - m_{b, \mu, \omega}) + (m_b(\omega, \sigma) - m_{b, \omega, \sigma})] - m_b(\omega, \omega).$$

for all  $\mu, \sigma, \omega \in \varphi$ , where  $s \geq 1$ , then  $(\varphi, m_b)$  is called an  $M_b$ -metric space.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $\varphi = [0, \infty)$  and  $m_b: \varphi^2 \rightarrow R^+$ , for all  $\mu, \sigma \in \varphi$  we have

$$m_b(\mu, \sigma) = |\mu - \sigma|^2 + \left(\frac{\mu + \sigma}{4}\right)^2.$$

Note that  $(\varphi, m_b)$  is an  $M_b$ -metric space with  $s = 2$ , but it is not M-metric space since the triangle inequality is not satisfied.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $\varphi = [0, \infty)$  and  $m_b: \varphi^2 \rightarrow R^+$ , for all  $\mu, \sigma \in \varphi$  we have

$$m_b(\mu, \sigma) = |\mu - \sigma|^2 + 3.$$

Note that  $(\varphi, m_b)$  is an  $M_b$ -metric space with  $s = 2$ , but it is not a cone  $b$ -metric space over Banach algebra  $A$  since for and  $\mu > 0$ , we have  $m_b(\mu, \mu) \neq 0$ .

**Example 2.7.** Let  $\varphi = [0, \infty)$  and  $m_b: \varphi^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , for all  $\mu, \sigma \in \varphi$  we have

$$m_b(\mu, \sigma) = (\max\{\mu, \sigma\})^2.$$

Note that  $(\varphi, m_b)$  is an  $M_b$ -metric space with  $s = 2$ , but it is not  $M$ -metric space since the triangle inequality is not satisfied.

**Example 2.8.**[14] Let  $\varphi = [0, \infty)$  and  $l > 1$  be constant and  $m_b: \varphi^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined for all  $\mu, \sigma \in \varphi$  we have

$$m_b(\mu, \sigma) = \max\{\mu, \sigma\}^l + |\mu - \sigma|^l.$$

Note that  $(\varphi, m_b)$  is an  $M_b$ -metric with  $s = 2^l$ , but it is not  $M$ -metric space since the triangle inequality is not satisfied.

### 3. Topology for $M_b$ -metric space

**Definition 3.1.** [14] Let  $(\varphi, m_b)$  be an  $M_b$ -metric space with  $s \geq 1$ . Then, for all  $x \in \varphi$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the open ball with centre  $\mu$  and radius  $\varepsilon$  is defined by

$$B_{m_b}(\mu, \varepsilon) = \{\sigma \in \varphi: m_b(\mu, \sigma) < m_{b_{\mu, \sigma}} + \varepsilon\}.$$

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $(\varphi, m_b)$  be an  $M_b$ -metric space with  $s \geq 1$ . Each  $M_b$ -metric generates a topology  $\tau_{m_b}$  on  $\varphi$  whose base is the family of open  $m_b$ -balls  $\{B_{m_b}(\mu, \varepsilon): \mu \in \varphi, \varepsilon > 0\}$ , where  $B_{m_b}(\mu, \varepsilon) = \{\sigma \in \varphi: m_b(\mu, \sigma) - m_{b_{\mu, \sigma}} < \varepsilon\}$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** An  $M_b$ -metric space is a  $T_0$ -space.

**Proof:** Let  $(\varphi, \tau_{m_b})$  be an  $M_b$ -metric space and  $\mu, \sigma \in \varphi$  such that  $\mu \neq \sigma$ . Then from  $(m_b)_2$ , we have

$$m_{b_{\mu, \sigma}} \leq m_b(\mu, \sigma) \Rightarrow$$

$$\min\{m_b(\mu, \mu), m_b(\sigma, \sigma)\} \leq m_b(\mu, \sigma),$$

That is,

$$m_b(\mu, \mu) \leq m_b(\mu, \sigma) \text{ or } m_b(\sigma, \sigma) \leq m_b(\mu, \sigma).$$

Firstly, assume that  $m_b(\mu, \mu) = m_b(\sigma, \sigma)$ .

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{b_{\mu, \sigma}} &= m_b(\mu, \mu) \\ &= m_b(\sigma, \sigma) \\ &< m_b(\mu, \sigma), \end{aligned}$$

Yielding  $m_b(\mu, \sigma) - m_{b_{\mu, \sigma}} = m_b(\mu, \sigma) - m_b(\mu, \mu) > 0$ .

If we choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $m_b(\mu, \sigma) - m_b(\mu, \mu) = \varepsilon$  then  $m_b(\mu, \sigma) < m_{b_{\mu, \sigma}} + \varepsilon$ , so that

$\sigma \notin B_{m_b}(\mu, \varepsilon)$ . Next, assume that  $m_b(\mu, \mu) < m_b(\sigma, \sigma)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} m_{b_{\mu, \sigma}} &= m_b(\mu, \mu) \\ &< m_b(\mu, \sigma), \\ \Rightarrow m_b(\mu, \sigma) - m_{b_{\mu, \sigma}} \\ &= m_b(\mu, \sigma) \\ &- m_b(\mu, \mu) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Again, if we choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $m_b(\mu, \sigma) - m_b(\mu, \mu) = \varepsilon$ , then  $m_b(\mu, \sigma) < m_{b_{\mu, \sigma}} + \varepsilon$ , so that  $\sigma \notin B_{m_b}(\mu, \varepsilon)$ .

Similarly, for  $m_b(\mu, \mu) > m_b(\sigma, \sigma)$ , one can easily show that  $\mu \in B_{m_b}(\mu, \varepsilon)$  and  $\sigma \notin B_{m_b}(\mu, \varepsilon)$ . Therefore, for any two distinct points  $\mu, \sigma \in \varphi$ , there is a ball containing one and not containing the other point. Hence  $(\varphi, m_b)$  is a  $T_0$ -space.

We now discuss the definitions of convergence in  $M_b$ -metric space.

**Definition 3.4.**[14-15] Let  $(\varphi, m_b)$  be a  $M_b$ -metric space. Then:

1) A sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  in  $\varphi$  converges to a point  $\mu$  if and only if

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} m_b(\mu_n, \mu_m) - m_{b_{\mu_n, \mu_m}}$$

2) A sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  in  $\varphi$  is said to be  $M_b$ -Cauchy sequence if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} (m_b(\mu_n, \mu_m) - m_{b_{\mu_n, \mu_m}}) \text{ and} \\ &\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} (M_{b_{\mu_n, \mu_m}} - m_{b_{\mu_n, \mu_m}}) \text{ exists and finite.} \end{aligned}$$

3) An  $M_b$ -metric space is said to be complete if every  $M_b$ -Cauchy sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  converges to a point  $\mu$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} m_b(\mu_n, \mu_m) - m_{b_{\mu_n, \mu_m}} = 0 \text{ and} \\ &\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} M_{b_{\mu_n, \mu_m}} - m_{b_{\mu_n, \mu_m}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

## MAIN RESULTS

We now state our main results.

**Theorem 4.1:** Let  $(\varphi, m_b)$  be a complete  $M_b$ -metric space with  $s \geq 1$  and  $\xi: \varphi \rightarrow \varphi$  satisfying the condition:

$$(4.1) \quad m_b(\xi\mu, \xi\sigma) \leq \alpha m_b(\mu, \sigma) + \beta m_b(\mu, \xi\mu) + \gamma m_b(\sigma, \xi\sigma)$$

$\forall \mu, \sigma \in \varphi$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho \geq 0$ , with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < \frac{1}{s}$ , then  $\xi$  has a unique fixed point  $u$  such that  $m_b(u, u) = 0$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mu_0 \in \varphi$  be arbitrary. Consider the sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  defined by  $\mu_n = \xi^n \mu_0$  and  $m_{b_n} = m_b(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})$ . Note that if there exists a natural

number  $n$  such that  $m_{b_n} = 0$ , then  $\mu_n$  is a fixed point of  $\xi$ . So, assume that  $m_{b_n} > 0$ , for  $n \geq 0$ . By (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{b_n} &= m_b(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) = m_b(\xi\mu_{n-1}, T\xi) \\ &\leq \alpha m_b(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) + \\ &\beta m_b(\mu_{n-1}, \xi\mu_{n-1}) + \gamma m_b(\mu_n, \xi\mu_n) \\ &= \alpha m_b(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) + \beta m_b(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) + \\ &\gamma m_b(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) \\ &= \alpha m_{b_{n-1}} + \beta m_{b_{n-1}} + \gamma m_{b_n} \\ &= (\alpha + \beta) m_{b_{n-1}} + \gamma m_{b_n} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $n \geq 0$ ,  $m_{b_n} \leq (\alpha + \beta) m_{b_{n-1}} + \gamma m_{b_n}$ , which implies  $m_{b_n} \leq \rho m_{b_{n-1}}$ , where  $\rho = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \gamma} < 1$  as  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < \frac{1}{s}$ . By repeating this process, we get  $m_{b_n} \leq \rho^n m_{b_{n-1}}$ . Thus,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{b_n} = 0$ . By (4.1), for all  $n, m > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_b(\mu_n, \mu_m) &= m_b(\xi^n \mu_0, \xi^m \mu_0) \\ &= m_b(\xi \mu_{n-1}, \xi \mu_{m-1}) \\ &\leq \alpha m_b(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_{m-1}) + \\ &\beta m_b(\mu_{n-1}, \xi \mu_{n-1}) + \gamma m_b(\mu_{m-1}, \xi \mu_{m-1}) \\ &= \alpha m_b(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_{m-1}) + \\ &\beta m_b(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) + \gamma m_b(\mu_{m-1}, \mu_m) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from the above inequality, we deduce that

$$m_b(\mu_n, \mu_m) \leq \alpha m_b(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_{m-1}) \text{ for all } n \geq 0.$$

By repeating this process, we get

$$m_b(\mu_n, \mu_m) \leq \alpha^n m_b(\mu_0, \mu_{m-n}) \text{ for all } n \geq 0.$$

Hence,  $m_b(\mu_n, \mu_m) - m_{b_{\mu_n, \mu_m}} \leq$

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha^n [s m_b(\mu_0, \mu_1) + s m_b(\mu_1, \mu_{m-n})] \\ &\leq \alpha^n [s m_b(\mu_0, \mu_1) + \\ &s^2 m_b(\mu_1, \mu_2) + s^2 m_b(\mu_2, \mu_{m-n})] \\ &\leq \alpha^n [s m_b(\mu_0, \mu_1) + \\ &s^2 m_b(\mu_1, \mu_2) + \dots + s^{m-n} m_b(\mu_{2m-n-1}, \mu_{m-n})] \\ &\leq \alpha^n s m_b(\mu_0, \mu_1) + \\ &\alpha^n s^2 m_b(\mu_0, \mu_1) + \dots + \alpha^n s^{m-n} m_b(\mu_0, \mu_1) \\ &\leq s \alpha^n [1 + s \alpha + (s \alpha)^2 + \\ &\dots] m_b(\mu_0, \mu_1) \\ &= \frac{s \alpha^n}{1 - s \alpha} m_b(\mu_0, \mu_1) \end{aligned}$$

As  $\alpha < \frac{1}{s}$  and  $s > 0$ , from the above inequality follows that

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} m_b(\mu_n, \mu_m) - m_{b_{\mu_n, \mu_m}} = 0.$$

Similarly, one can show that  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} M_{b_{\mu_n, \mu_m}} - m_{b_{\mu_n, \mu_m}} = 0$ .

Thus,  $\{\mu_n\}$  is an  $M_b$ -Cauchy sequence in  $\varphi$ .

Since  $\varphi$  is complete there exist  $u \in \varphi$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_b(\mu_n, u) - m_{b_{\mu_n, u}} = 0$ .

Next, we prove that  $u$  is a fixed point of  $\xi$ . For any  $n > 0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_b(\mu_n, u) - m_{b_{\mu_n, u}} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_b(\mu_{n+1}, u) - m_{b_{\mu_{n+1}, u}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_b(\xi \mu_n, u) - m_{b_{\xi \mu_n, u}} \\ &= m_b(\xi u, u) - m_{b_{\xi u, u}} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $m_b(\xi u, u) - m_{b_{\xi u, u}} = 0$ , hence  $m_b(\xi u, u) = m_{b_{\xi u, u}}$ , therefore  $\xi u = u$ . Thus,  $u$  is a fixed point of  $\xi$ . Now, we show that if  $u$  is a fixed point, then  $m_b(u, u) = 0$ , assume that  $u$  is a fixed point of  $\xi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hence } m_b(u, u) &= m_b(\xi u, \xi u) \\ &\leq \alpha m_b(u, u) + \beta m_b(u, \xi u) + \\ &\gamma m_b(u, \xi u) \\ &= (\beta + \gamma) m_b(u, \xi u) \\ &+ \alpha m_b(u, u) \\ &= (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) m_b(u, u) \end{aligned}$$

As  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < \frac{1}{s}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow m_b(u, u) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

To prove uniqueness, assume that  $\xi$  has two fixed points say  $u, v \in \varphi$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } m_b(u, v) &= m_b(\xi u, \xi v) \\ &\leq \alpha m_b(u, v) + \\ &\beta m_b(u, \xi u) + \gamma m_b(v, \xi v) \\ &= \alpha m_b(u, v) + \beta m_b(u, u) + \\ &\gamma m_b(v, v) \\ &\leq \alpha m_b(u, v) \\ &< m_b(u, v) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $m_b(u, v) = 0$  and thus  $u = v$ .

**Corollary 4.2** Let  $(\varphi, m_b)$  be a complete  $M_b$ -metric space with  $s \geq 1$  and  $\xi: \varphi \rightarrow \varphi$  satisfying the condition:

$$(4.2) \quad m_b(\xi \mu, \xi \sigma) \leq k m_b(\mu, \sigma)$$

$\forall \mu, \sigma \in \varphi$ , where  $k \geq 0$ , with  $k < \frac{1}{s}$ , then  $\xi$  has a unique fixed point  $u$  such that  $m_b(u, u) = 0$ .

**Example 4.3.** Let  $\varphi = [0, \infty)$  and  $m_b: \varphi \times \varphi \rightarrow R$  be defined by  $m_b(\mu, \sigma) = |\mu - \sigma|^2 + \left(\frac{\mu + \sigma}{2}\right)^2$ . Then  $(\varphi, m_b)$  is a complete  $M_b$ -metric space with  $s = 2$ . Define  $\xi: \varphi \rightarrow \varphi$  by  $\xi \mu = \frac{\mu}{3}, \forall \mu \in \varphi$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & m_b(\xi\mu, \xi\sigma) \\
 &= |\xi\mu - \xi\sigma|^2 \\
 &+ \left(\frac{\xi\mu + \xi\sigma}{2}\right)^2 \\
 &= \left|\frac{\mu}{3} - \frac{\sigma}{3}\right|^2 + \left(\frac{\frac{\mu}{3} + \frac{\sigma}{3}}{2}\right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{3^2}|\mu - \sigma|^2 + \frac{1}{3^2}\left(\frac{\mu + \sigma}{2}\right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{3^2}\left[|\mu - \sigma|^2 + \left(\frac{\mu + \sigma}{2}\right)^2\right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{9}m_b(\mu, \sigma).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, all the conditions of Corollary 4.2 are satisfied with  $k = \frac{1}{9}$ . Hence  $\xi$  has a fixed point  $\mu = 0$  and  $m_b(0, 0) = 0$ .

### 5. Application

In this section, we endeavour to apply Theorem 4.1 to investigate the existence and uniqueness of solution of the Fredholm integral equation.

Consider the following integral equation:

$$(5.1) \quad \mu(t) = \int_0^\xi G((t, s, \mu(t)))ds,$$

for  $t, s \in [0, \xi]$ , where  $\xi > 0$  and  $G: [0, \xi] \times [0, \xi] \times R \rightarrow R$ . In this section, we present the existence theorem for (5.1). Let  $\varphi = C[0, \xi]$  be the set of continuous real functions defined on  $[0, \xi]$ . We endow  $\varphi$  with the  $M_b$ -metric

$$m_b(\mu(t), \sigma(t)) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(\frac{\mu(t) + \sigma(t)}{4}\right)^2, \text{ for all } \mu, \sigma \in \varphi.$$

Then  $(\varphi, m_b)$  is a complete  $M_b$ -metric space with the constant  $s = 2$ .

Let  $f(\mu(t)) = \int_0^\xi G(t, s, \mu(t))ds$  for all  $\mu \in \varphi$  and for all  $t, s \in [0, \xi]$ . Then the existence of a solution to (5.1) is equivalent to the existence of a fixed point of  $f$ . Now, we prove the following result.

**Theorem 5.1:** Assume that for all  $\mu, \sigma \in C[0, \xi]$

$$(5.2) \quad |G(t, s, \mu(t)) + G(t, s, \sigma(t))| \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}|\mu(t) + \sigma(t)|$$

for all  $t, s \in [0, \xi]$  where  $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{s}$ . Then the integral equation (5.1) admits a unique solution in  $\mu \in \varphi$ .

**Proof.** From (5.2), for all  $t \in [0, \xi]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_b(\xi\mu(t), \xi\sigma(t)) &= \left(\frac{\xi\mu(t) + \xi\sigma(t)}{4}\right)^2 \\
 &= \left|\int_0^\xi \left(\frac{K(t, s, \mu(t)) + K(t, s, \sigma(t))}{4}\right) ds\right|^2 \\
 &\leq \int_0^\xi \left|\left(\frac{K(t, s, \mu(t)) + K(t, s, \sigma(t))}{4}\right)\right|^2 ds \\
 &\leq \int_0^\xi \left\{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\left(\frac{\mu(t) + \sigma(t)}{4}\right)\right|\right\}^2 ds \\
 &\leq \lambda \int_0^\xi \left\{\left(\frac{|\mu(t)| + |\sigma(t)|}{4}\right)^2\right\} ds \\
 &\leq \lambda m_b(\mu(t), \sigma(t)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, condition (5.1) is satisfied. Therefore, all conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. Hence  $\xi$  has a unique fixed point, which means that the Fredholm integral equation (5.3) has a unique solution. This completes the proof.

**Open Problems:** Prove analogue of Reich contraction, Ciric contraction and Hardy-Rogers contraction in  $M_b$ -metric space.

**Acknowledgement:** The authors are thankful to M.P. Council of Science and Technology, for support under a Major Research Project No. 3839/CST/R&D/Phy. & Engg. And Pharmacy/2023, entitled "A Study of Existence of some new type of Metric spaces with applications," under which this work has been done.

### REFERENCES

- [1]. Asadi M., Karapinar E and Salimi P., New extension of p-metric spaces with some fixed-point results on M -metric spaces, Journal of Inequalities and Appl., (2014).
- [2]. Bakhtin I. A., The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces, Funct. Anal. Gos. Ped. Inst. Unianowsk 30, 26-37, (1989).
- [3]. Czerwik, S., Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Acta Math Inf Univ Ostraviensis 1(1): 5–11, (1993).
- [4]. Fernandez J. and Malviya N., Fixed point results in M-cone metric space over Banach algebra with an application. (In Press, Filomat).
- [5]. Fernandez, J.; Malviya, N.; Mitrović, T. D.; Hussain, A.; Parvaneh, V. Some fixed point results on  $N_b$ -cone metric spaces over Banach

- algebra., Adv. Diff. Eqn., 2020:529  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-02991-5>, (2020).
- [6]. Fernandez J.; Saxena K.; Malviya N. On cone  $b_2$ -metric spaces over Banach algebra, Sao Paulo J. Math. Sci., 11, 221-239, (2017).
- [7]. Fernandez J.; Malviya N.; Djekic-Dolićanin D.; Pučić, D. The  $p_b$ -cone metric spaces over Banach algebra with applications, Filomat, 34(3), 983-998, (2020).
- [8]. Fréchet, M. Sur quelques points du calcul fonctionnel, Palermo Rend., 22, 1-74, (1906).
- [9]. Huang L.G, and Thang M., Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems for contractive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 332(2), 1468-1476, (2007).
- [10]. Huang H, and Radenović S., Common fixed point theorems of Generalized Lipschitz mappings in cone metric spaces over Banach algebras, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. 9, No. 6, 2983-2990 (2015).
- [11]. Kadelburg, T., Radenović, S.: A note on various types of cones and fixed point results in cone metric spaces, Asian J. Math. Appl., (2013).
- [12]. Liu, H, Mu, S., Cone metric spaces with Banach algebras and fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 320 (2013).
- [13]. Matthews S.G., Partial metric topology, 8th Summer Conference on General topology and Appl., 183-197, (1994).
- [14]. Mlaiki N, Tarrad A, Souayah N, Mukheimer A, Abdeljawed T, Fixed point theorems in  $M_b$ -metric spaces, Journal of Math. Anal., Volume 7, Issue 5, Pages 1-9, (2016).
- [15]. Shende S., G. Agrawal, and N. Malviya. "Some Fixed Point Theorem for Asymptotically Regular Maps in N-Fuzzy Metric Space." Journal of Science and Technological Researches 3, no. 3 (2021): 9-13.

